

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ELECTRONIC

05/03/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,778	09/06/2006	Markus Frey	SE/21-23025/A/PCT	4481
324 BASF Perform	7590 05/03/201 nance Products LLC	EXAMINER		
Patent Departn		BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	10591	1624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

andrea.dececchis@basf.com deborah.pinori@basf.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/591,778	FREY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Nenkataraman	1624		

	Balasubramanian/	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
 No The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Note below. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Venkataraman Balasut	oramanian/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 1624

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Currently amended claim 1 recites at least one group of formula II thereby implies inclusion of other undefined sterically hindered amines as well. This raises new ground of rejection if the current amendment is entered. In addition, the prior art rejections made in the previous office action are equally applicable to the currently amended claim 1 and its dependent claims except claim 6.